Maryland Historical Trust

•			
Maryland Inventory of Historic Properties number: RO	-2692		
Name: B-0201/Bakland Ro	1. over Frib Hall		
	Fialls.		
The bridge referenced herein was inventoried by the Maryland Historic Bridge Inventory, and SHA provided the Trust with e			
The Trust accepted the Historic Bridge Inventory on April 3, 2 determination of eligibility.			
MARYLAND HISTORICAL TRUST			
Eligibility Recommended	Eligibility Not Recommended X		
Criteria:ABCD Considerations:A	BCDEFGNone		
Comments:			
D ODC. A. E.D. 1	D		
Reviewer, OPS:_Anne E. Bruder	Date:3 April 2001		
Reviewer, NR Program: Peter E. Kurtze	Date: 3 April 2001		

MHT No. <u>BA-2692</u>

MARYLAND INVENTORY OF HISTORIC BRIDGES HISTORIC BRIDGE INVENTORY MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION/MARYLAND HISTORICAL TRUST

SHA Bridge No. B 0201 Bridge name Oakland Road over Tributary to Little Falls
LOCATION: Street/Road name and number [facility carried] Oakland Road
City/town Oakland 0.28 mi E of Keeney Mill Road Vicinity X
County Baltimore
This bridge projects over: Road Railway Water X Land
Ownership: State County X Municipal Other
HISTORIC STATUS: Is bridge located within a designated historic district? Yes NoX
Name of district
BRIDGE TYPE: Timber Bridge Truss -Covered Trestle Timber-And-Concrete
Stone Arch Bridge
Metal Truss Bridge _
Movable Bridge: Swing Bascule Single Leaf Bascule Multiple Leaf Vertical Lift _ Retractile Pontoon
Metal Girder: Rolled Girder: Rolled Girder Concrete Encased Plate Girder Plate Girder Concrete Encased
Metal Suspension
Metal Arch
Metal Cantilever
Concrete X : Concrete Arch : Concrete Slab X Concrete Beam : Rigid Frame

DESCRIPTION: Setting: Urban Small town RuralX Describe Setting: Bridge B0201 carries Oakland Road in an east-west direction over a tributary of Little Falls which flows in a southerly direction. The area is relatively undeveloped with two farmsteads visible from the bridge and pasture land around the bridge.
Describe Superstructure And Substructure: Bridge B0201 is a single span concrete slab on concrete abutments, built c. 1920 and rehabilitated in 1991. The curb to curb is 18.2 feet and the deck out to out is 20.2 feet. The span is 18.5 feet and the overall length of the structure is 22.0 feet. The skew is 00 degrees and the flow is south. The wingwalls are concrete and are flared approximately 10 degrees to the line of the bridge. The parapets are solid concrete and integral to the deck. The roadway supports two way traffic. The bridge is not posted.
Discuss Major Alterations: The bridge was redecked in 1991 when a new slab was constructed on the existing abutments. The wingwalls and the parapets were replaced at the same time.
HISTORY:
WHEN was bridge built (actual date or date range) 1920 (rehabilitated in 1991) This date is: Actual _ Estimated X Source of date: Plaque _ Design plans _ County bridge files/inspection form X Other (specify)
WHY was the bridge built? The need for a more efficient transportation network and increased load capacity in the decades following World War I.
WHO was the designer? State Highway Administration
WHO was the builder? Unknown
WHY was the bridge altered? Accommodations were needed for increased load limits, vehicle width and traffic volumes, as well as correcting deterioration of the bridge.
Was this bridge built as part of an organized bridge-building campaign? As part of an effort by the State to increase load capacity on secondary roads during the 1920s.
SURVEYOR/HISTORIAN ANALYSIS:
This bridge may have National Register significance for its association with: A - Events B- Person C- Engineering/architectural character
This bridge does not have National Register significance.

BA-2692

Was the bridge constructed in response to significant events in Maryland or local history?

Reinforced concrete slab bridges are a twentieth century structure type, easily adapted to the need for expedient engineering solutions. Reinforced concrete technology developed rapidly in the early twentieth century with early recognition of the potential for standardized design. The first U.S. attempt to standardize concrete design specifications came in 1903-04 with the formation of the Joint Committee on Concrete and Reinforced Concrete of the American Society of Civil Engineers.

Maryland's road and bridge improvement programs mirrored economic cycles. The first road improvement program of the State Roads Commission was a 7 year program, starting with the Commission's establishment in 1908 and ending in 1915. Due to World War I, the period from 1916 -1920 was one of relative inactivity; only roads of first priority were built. Truck traffic resulting from war-related factories and military installations generated new, heavy traffic unanticipated by the builders of the early road system. From 1920 to 1929, numerous highway improvements occurred in response to the increase in Maryland motor vehicles from 103,000 in 1920 to 320,000 in 1929, with emphasis on the secondary system of feeder roads which moved traffic from the primary roads built before World War I. After World War I, Maryland's bridge system also was appraised as too narrow and structurally inadequate for the increasing traffic, with plans for an expanded bridge program to be handled by the Bridge Division, set up in 1920. In 1920 under Chapter 508 of the Acts of 1920 the State issued a bond of \$3,000,000.00 for road construction; the primary purpose of these monies was to meet the state obligations involving the construction of rural post roads. The secondary purpose of these monies was to fund [with an equal sum from the counties] the building of lateral roads. The number of hard surfaced roads on the state system grew from 2000 in 1920 to 3200 in 1930. By 1930, Maryland's primary system had become inadequate to the huge freight trucks and volume of passenger cars in use, with major improvements occurring. in the late 1930s. Most improvements to local roads waited until the years after World War II.

With a diverse topographical domain encompassing numerous small and large crossings, Maryland engineers quickly recognized the need for expedient design and construction.

In the early years, there was a need to replace the numerous single lane timber bridges. Walter Wilson Crosby, Chief Engineer stated in 1906, "The general plan has been to replace these [wood bridges] with pipe culverts or concrete bridges and thus forever do way with the further expense of the maintenance of expensive and dangerous wooden structures". Within a few years, readily constructed standardized bridges of concrete were being built throughout the state.

The creation of standard plans and a description of their use was first announced in the 1912-15 Reports of the State Roads Commission whereby bridges spanning up to 36 feet were to use standardized designs.

Published on a single sheet, the 1912 Standard Plans included those structures that were amenable to such an approach: slab spans, (deck) girder spans, box culverts, box bridges, abutments, and piers (State Roads Commission 1912). Slab spans, with lengths of 6 to 16 feet in two foot increments, featured a solid parapet that was integrated into the slab, with a roadway of 22 feet.

In the <u>Report</u> for the years 1916-1919, a revision of the standard plans was noted:

During the four years covered by this report, it has been found necessary to revise our standard plans for culverts and bridges, to take care of the increased tonnage which they have been forced to carry. Army cantonments...increased their operations several hundred per cent, and the brunt of the enormous truck traffic resulting therefrom, was borne by the

BA-2692

State Roads of Maryland. In addition to these war activities, freight motor lines from Baltimore to Washington, Philadelphia, New York, and various points throughout Maryland, and the weight of many of these trucks when loaded, was in excess of the loads for which our early bridges were designed (State Roads Commission 1920:56).

Published on separate sheets, the new standard plans (State Roads Commission 1919) for slab bridges reveal that the major changes was an increase in roadway width from 22 feet to 24 feet and a redesign of the reinforcement. The slab spans continued to feature solid parapets integrated into the span. The range of span lengths remained 6 to 16 feet, but the next year (1920) witnessed the issue of a supplemental plan for a 20 foot long slab span (State Roads Commission 1920).

Based upon documentary evidence, Baltimore County and City were the early pioneers in concrete bridge building in Maryland. The first reinforced concrete bridge documented in Maryland was the bridge at Sherwood Station, built in 1903 by Baltimore County.

Evidence from historic maps suggests that almost all of the extant concrete slab bridges built before 1940 in Baltimore County replaced earlier bridges. With the exception of two bridges, all of these structures lie on roads whose alignments have changed little since the middle of the nineteenth century. The two exceptions are both located on Shelbourne Avenue in Arbutus. Shelbourne Avenue does not appear on the 1850 map of Baltimore County but does appear on the 1915 map. Both concrete slabs bridges on Shelbourne Avenue, however, were built after 1915. The evidence therefore suggests that these two bridges were also built to replace previous structures.

When the bridge was built and/or given a major alteration, did it have a significant impact on the growth and development of the area?

There is no evidence to suggest that the construction of this bridge had a significant impact on the growth and development of this area.

Is the bridge located in an area which may be eligible for historic designation? Would the bridge add to or detract from the historic/visual character of the potential district? The bridge is not located in an area which may be eligible for historic designation.

Is the bridge a significant example of its type?

No, this bridge is an undistinguished example of its type.

Does the bridge retain integrity of important elements described in Context Addendum? No, this bridge does not retain integrity, due to the replacement of parapets, deck and wingwalls in 1991.

Is the bridge a significant example of the work of a manufacturer, designer, and/or engineer? The bridge is not a significant example of the work a manufacturer, designer, and/or engineer.

Should the bridge be given further study before an evaluation of its significance is made? No additional study will be needed before an evaluation of the significance of this bridge is made.

BIBLIOGRAPHY:			
County inspection/bridge files Other (list):	X	SHA inspection/bridge files	

BA- 2692

SURVEYOR:


Date bridge recorded 08/15/95

Name of surveyor Colin Farr

Organization/Address P.A.C. Spero & Company, Suite 412, 40 West Chesapeake Ave., Baltimore, MD 21204

Phone number 410 296-1635

FAX number 410 296-1670





Inventory # BA - 2692
BURDI- OAKLAND RO OVER TRIBUTARY Name OF LITTLE FALLS
County/State BALTIMURE COUNTY/MD Name of Photographer DAVE DIEHL
Date 103
Location of Negative SHA
Description SOUTH APPROPRIACH LOCKING NUMBER
Number 25 of 25 4



Ivaille OF	AKLAND I	RO OVER TRIBUTARY E FALLS
Name of Pho Date	tographer	DAVE DIEAL
Location of Negative SHA		
Description _	WEST	ELEVATION LOOKING
Number Hoc	1 A	



Inventory # BA-2692
30201-OAKLAND RD OVER TRIBUTARY
Name OF LITTLE FALLS
County/State PALTIMORE COUNTY/MD
Name of Photographer DAVE DIEHL
Date 1195
5.10
Location of Negative 5HA
Description Enert E. S. M. T
Description EAST ELEVATION WOKING
_west
3 1
Tumber Hof 25
WILLIAM TO THE TANK T



Inventory #	BA-269	Anne	
B0201-04 Name	KLAND R	DOVER TRI	BUTARY
County/State	BALTIMO	RE COUNTY	1/MD
		AVE DIEH	
Date\	95		
Location of Negative _SHA			
Description	NORTH	APPROACH	LOUKING
	SOUTHE	AST	1909-1011-111
40	4		
Number	of 23-		